## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 19-6507		
MICHAEL S. OWL FEATHER-G	ORBEY, Chief,		
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,		
v.			
WARDEN FCI CUMBERLAND,			
Respondent -	Appellee.		
Appeal from the United States Dis Richard D. Bennett, District Judge.		•	land, at Baltimore.
Submitted: September 27, 2019		Decided:	November 6, 2019
Before NIEMEYER, FLOYD, and	RICHARDSON, Cir	cuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curi-	am opinion.		
Michael S. Gorbey, Appellant Pro	Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.	

## PER CURIAM:

Michael S. Owl Feather-Gorbey, a District of Columbia code offender incarcerated at FCI Cumberland in Maryland, seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability.\* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Owl Feather-Gorbey has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral

<sup>\*</sup> Because Owl Feather-Gorbey was convicted in a District of Columbia court, he is required to obtain a certificate of appealability in order to appeal the denial of his habeas petition. *See Madley v. United States Parole Comm'n*, 278 F.3d 1306, 1310 (D.C. Cir. 2002).

argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**