## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-6767		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - Appellee,		
v.		
MICHAEL T. MCGEE,		
Defendant - Appellant.		
Appeal from the United States Dist Wheeling. Frederick P. Stamp, Jr 5:16-cv-00102-FPS-RWT)  Submitted: October 17, 2019		•
Before MOTZ and QUATTLEBA Judge.	UM, Circuit Judges,	and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Michael T. McGee, Appellant Pro	Se.	

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Michael T. McGee seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The district court's order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that McGee has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**