UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-6909

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DAVID MEYERS, a/k/a David Myers, a/k/a Duke Meyers, a/k/a Diamond Meyers,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. M. Hannah Lauck, District Judge. (3:07-cr-00085-MHL-1; 3:18-cv-00051)

Submitted: November 12, 2019

Decided: November 25, 2019

Before KING and DIAZ, Circuit Judges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

David Meyers, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

David Meyers seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing without prejudice Meyers' 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. Meyers filed a consolidated notice of appeal, listing several district court cases, but did not designate the orders he wants to appeal. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 3(c)(1)(B), a notice of appeal must specify the judgment or order being appealed. We construe this rule liberally "asking whether the putative appellant has manifested the intent to appeal a specific judgment or order and whether the affected party had notice and an opportunity fully to brief the issue." *Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 176 (4th Cir. 2014). Because the dictates of Rule 3 are jurisdictional, each requirement must be satisfied as a prerequisite to appellate review. *Smith v. Barry*, 502 U.S. 244, 248 (1992). In his one-page consolidated notice of appeal, Meyers failed to specify the orders being appealed. Accordingly, we lack jurisdiction.

Moreover, when the United States or its officer or agency is a party, the notice of appeal must be filed no more than 60 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(B), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

2

The order designated as the order Meyers sought to appeal was entered April 24, 2018. Meyers filed the notice of appeal on June 11, 2019.^{*} Because Meyers failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we lack jurisdiction.

Because Meyers failed to specify the order being appealed, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

^{*} For the purpose of this appeal, we assume that the date appearing on the notice of appeal is the earliest date Meyers could have delivered the notice to prison officials for mailing to the court. Fed. R. App. P. 4(c)(1); *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266, 276 (1988).