## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		-	
	No. 19-7107		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,		
Plaintiff - App	pellee,		
v.			
KENNETH LEE FOSTER,			
Defendant - A	ppellant.		
Appeal from the United States Dist Asheville. Martin K. Reidinger, I 00315-MR)			
Submitted: December 17, 2019		Decided:	December 20, 2019
Before KING, FLOYD, and HARF	RIS, Circuit Judges.		
Dismissed by unpublished per curi-	am opinion.		
Kenneth Lee Foster, Appellant Pro	Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.	

## PER CURIAM:

Kenneth Lee Foster seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(d) motion seeking to set aside the judgment denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Foster has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Foster's motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**