UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
<u>-</u>	No. 19-7473	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
v.		
ANTONIO J. REESE,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. James C. Dever III, Distri		
Submitted: March 12, 2020		Decided: March 17, 2020
Before KING, KEENAN, and FLO	YD, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Antonio J. Reese, Appellant Pro Se		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Antonio J. Reese seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2018) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2018). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2018). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Reese has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny Reese's motion to place his case in abeyance, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED