

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-7635

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner - Appellee,

v.

CHRISTOPHER HUTCHISON,

Respondent - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. W. Earl Britt, Senior District Judge. (5:19-hc-02206-BR)

Submitted: July 23, 2020

Decided: July 27, 2020

Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and RICHARDSON, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

G. Alan DuBois, Federal Public Defender, Eric Joseph Brignac, Chief Appellate Attorney, OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellant. Robert J. Higdon, Jr., United States Attorney, Joshua B. Royster, Assistant United States Attorney, Genna D. Petre, Special Assistant United States Attorney, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Christopher Hutchison appeals the district court's order committing him to the custody and care of the Attorney General under 18 U.S.C. § 4246 (2018). Commitment to the custody of the Attorney General is required "[i]f, after [a] hearing, the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the person is presently suffering from a mental disease or defect as a result of which his release would create a substantial risk of bodily injury to another person or serious damage to property of another." 18 U.S.C. § 4246(d) (2018). The district court's finding of dangerousness under 18 U.S.C. § 4246 is a factual determination the appellate court will not overturn unless it is clearly erroneous. *United States v. LeClair*, 338 F.3d 882, 885 (8th Cir. 2003); *United States v. Cox*, 964 F.2d 1431, 1433 (4th Cir. 1992). After reviewing the materials submitted in the joint appendix and the parties' briefs, we conclude that the district court did not clearly err in its finding of dangerousness. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED