UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
	No. 19-7686	
TREMAINE RASHON WRAY,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
WARDEN DENNIS BUSH,		
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States Distribute H. Hendricks, District Judge		
Submitted: December 1, 2020		Decided: February 25, 2021
Before KEENAN, RICHARDSON	, and QUATTLEBA	UM, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Tremaine Rashon Wray, Appellant	Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Tremaine Rashon Wray seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Wray's 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Wray has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Wray's motions for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED