UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_	No. 19-7690
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,
Plaintiff - App	ellee,
v.	
TIMOTHY ALLEN MCNEAL,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.
Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Catherine C. Blake, District Judge. (1:16-cr-00606-CCB-2; 1:19-cv-01850-CCB)	
Submitted: November 23, 2020	Decided: December 14, 2020
Before NIEMEYER, and, KEENA	N, Circuit Judges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.
Timothy Allen McNeal, Appellant	Pro Se.
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Timothy A. McNeal seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that McNeal has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED