UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 19-7728	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
ROBERT JAMES TURNER, a/k/a	Robert James Branh	nam,
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dalexandria. Leonie M. Brinkema, l LMB)		
Submitted: February 18, 2020		Decided: February 21, 2020
Before MOTZ, HARRIS, and QUA	ATTLEBAUM, Circu	nit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Robert James Turner, Appellant Pr	o Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not hind	ing precedent in this	circuit

PER CURIAM:

Robert James Turner seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as successive his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2018) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2018). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2018). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Turner has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED