UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 19-7745	
EDWARD GERMAINE SAUNDE	RS,	
Petitioner - Ap	pellant,	
v.		
HAROLD W. CLARKE, Director,	Virginia Departmen	t of Corrections,
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
<u>-</u>		
Appeal from the United States Di Roanoke. Michael F. Urbanski, Ch		•
Submitted: April 16, 2020		Decided: April 21, 2020
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, an	nd WYNN and DIA	Z, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	nm opinion.	
Edward Germaine Saunders, Appel	lant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not binding	ng precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Edward Germaine Saunders seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2018) petition. *See Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 148 & n.9 (2012) (explaining that § 2254 petitions are subject to one-year statute of limitations, running from latest of four commencement dates enumerated in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1) (2018)). The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2018). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2018). When, as here, the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez*, 565 U.S. at 140-41 (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Saunders has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED