

UNPUBLISHED

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

No. 20-1426

BRENDA COLEMAN GILLIS,

Debtor - Appellant,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; MERS AND WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, d/b/a America's Servicing Company, a division of Wells Fargo Bank, NA; DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, As Trustee for First Franklin Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-FF11 Mortgage Pass Through Certificate-Series 2006, FFII; THE LAW OFFICE OF SAMUEL I. WHITE PC, (Specialized Loan Servicing LLC); WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP, (Wells Fargo & MERS); ROSENBERG & ASSOCIATES; JULIE ANN EVASCO, Esq.; SARA TUSSEY, Esq.; KAREN E.M. PERRY, Esq.; SHAPIRO & BROWN, LLP & PROFESSIONAL FORECLOSURE CORPORATION OF VIRGINIA; LENARD TEGNACO, Esq.; SPECIALIZED LOAN SERVICING, As servicing agent for Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, As Trustee for First Franklin Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-FF11 Mortgage Pass Through Certificate-Series 2006, FFII; MERS, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.,

Appellees,

and

SUZANNE E. WADE; JOHN P. FITZGERALD, III,

Trustees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. John A. Gibney, Jr., District Judge. (3:20-cv-00097-JAG)

Submitted: May 19, 2020

Decided: May 28, 2020

Before WYNN and RUSHING, Circuit Judges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Brenda Coleman Gillis, Appellant Pro Se. Jeffrey L. Tarkenton, WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP, Washington, D.C., for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Brenda Coleman Gillis appeals from the district court's order denying her motion to proceed in forma pauperis. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Gillis v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, No. 3:20-cv-00097-JAG (E.D. Va. Mar. 11, 2020). We deny Gillis' motion for a temporary restraining order/stay pending appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED