UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
_	No. 20-1919	
DERRICK C. LITMAN,		
Plaintiff - App	pellant,	
v.		
ANDREW SAUL, Commissioner	of Social Security,	
Defendant - A	ppellee.	
Appeal from the United States D Newport News. Rebecca Beach Sn		_
Submitted: December 22, 2020		Decided: December 28, 2020
Before NIEMEYER, FLOYD, and	RICHARDSON, Ci	rcuit Judges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
Derrick C. Litman, Appellant Pro SOFFICE OF THE UNITED STATE		•
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Derrick C. Litman appeals the district court's order upholding the Administrative Law Judge's denial of Litman's applications for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Litman that failure to file timely, specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned of the consequences of noncompliance. *Martin v. Duffy*, 858 F.3d 239, 245 (4th Cir. 2017); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 846-47 (4th Cir. 1985); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 154-55 (1985). Litman waived appellate review by failing to file objections to the magistrate judge's recommendation after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED