## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
<u>-</u>	No. 20-2354	
CARLA T. LEWIS,		
Plaintiff - App	ellant,	
V.		
ROY SMITH, AIC-VPIA; VIRGIN	NIA PROPERTY IN	SURANCE,
Defendants - A	Appellees.	
-		
Appeal from the United States D Richmond. M. Hannah Lauck, Dis		•
Submitted: April 27, 2021		Decided: April 30, 2021
Before KEENAN, WYNN, and FL	OYD, Circuit Judges	S.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiar	m opinion.	
Carla T. Lewis, Appellant Pro Se MESSERSMITH, PC, Richmond,	•	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Carla T. Lewis appeals the district court's orders dismissing Lewis' complaint without prejudice for failure to prosecute and denying reconsideration.\* On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Lewis' informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, she has forfeited appellate review of the court's orders. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we affirm the court's orders. We further deny Lewis' "motion to reintegrate appellate brief" and motions for entry of default judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED* 

<sup>\*</sup>With respect to the first order, because the district court dismissed Lewis' action "for procedural reasons unrelated to the contents of the pleadings," we have jurisdiction over this appeal. *Goode v. Cent. Va. Legal Aid Soc'y, Inc.*, 807 F.3d 619, 624 (4th Cir. 2015), *abrogated in part on other grounds by Bing v. Brivo Sys., LLC*, 959 F.3d 605, 611-12 (4th Cir. 2020) (discussing factors courts consider in determining whether order is final and appealable).