UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-			
_	No. 20-6011		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,		
Plaintiff - App	pellee,		
v.			
CHRISTOPHER KELLY,			
Defendant - A	ppellant.		
Appeal from the United States Dis Catherine C. Blake, District Judge.			
Submitted: May 21, 2020		Decided:	May 27, 2020
Before AGEE and QUATTLEBA Judge.	.UM, Circuit Judges	, and TRAXLER,	Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.		
Christopher Kelly, Appellant Pro S	e		

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Christopher Kelly seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his authorized 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2018) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2018). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2018). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Kelly has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED