## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 20-6140	
CLAYTON GEORGE SMITH,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
HAROLD W. CLARKE, Director,	Virginia Departmen	t of Corrections,
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States E Norfolk. Robert G. Doumar, Senio		
Submitted: March 30, 2022		Decided: April 27, 20
Before NIEMEYER, AGEE, and F	HARRIS, Circuit Jud	ges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
ON BRIEF: S. W. Dawson, DAV R. Herring, Attorney General, Laur Heytens, Solicitor General, Miche General, Jessica Merry Samuels, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY Appellee.	ra Haeberle Cahill, A elle S. Kallen, Mart , Assistant Soliciton	Assistant Attorney General, Toby ine E. Cicconi, Deputy Solicitor General, Kendall T. Burcha

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Clayton George Smith appeals the district court's order accepting the magistrate judge's recommendation to deny relief on Smith's 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The district court granted a certificate of appealability on one issue: whether the Virginia state trial court improperly denied Smith's motion to suppress his incriminating statements, in contravention of *Miranda*.\* We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Smith v. Clarke*, No. 2:19-cv-00072-RGD-DEM (E.D. Va. Dec. 18, 2019). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED** 

<sup>\*</sup> Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).