UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 20-6246	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	٠,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
LORENZO EVERRETTE ROSCO	DE,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States II Norfolk. Rebecca Beach Smith, 2:19-cv-00445-RBS-DEM)		
Submitted: June 18, 2020		Decided: June 23, 2020
Before FLOYD, THACKER, and I	RUSHING, Circuit J	udges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Lorenzo Everrette Roscoe, Appella	ant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Lorenzo Everrette Roscoe seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2018) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2018). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2018). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Roscoe has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED