## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_	No. 20-6358		
SUPREME RAHEEM ACKBAR,	a/k/a Ronald Gary,		
Plaintiff - App	pellant,		
and			
ROBERT L. MITCHELL,			
Plaintiff,			
v.			
SCOTT LEWIS; C. HINDENBUR	.G; OFFICER JACO	BS,	
Defendants - A	Appellees.		
Appeal from the United States District Richard Mark Gergel, District Judg			arolina, at Florence.
Submitted: August 31, 2020		Decided:	November 5, 2020
Before KEENAN, HARRIS, and R	AICHARDSON, Circ	uit Judges.	
Affirmed by unpublished per curian	m opinion.		
Supreme Raheem Ackbar, Appella	nt Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.	

## PER CURIAM:

Supreme Raheem Ackbar appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing Ackbar's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Ackbar v. Lewis*, No. 4:19-cv-02045-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 4, 2020). We deny Ackbar's motion to vacate the district court's opinion and judgment and his supplemental motion to vacate the district court's opinion and judgment and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**