UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| - | | |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|
| _ | No. 20-6401 | |
| THOMAS LEE GEDDIE, | | |
| Petitioner - Ap | ppellant, | |
| v. | | |
| WARDEN LIEBER CORRECTIO | NAL INSTITUTION | N, |
| Respondent - A | Appellee. | |
| - | | |
| Appeal from the United States Distr Terry L. Wooten, Senior District Ju | | |
| Submitted: July 23, 2020 | | Decided: July 28, 2020 |
| Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and | RICHARDSON, Cir | rcuit Judges. |
| Dismissed by unpublished per curia | am opinion. | |
| Thomas Lee Geddie, Appellant Pro | Se. | |
| Unpublished opinions are not bindi | ng precedent in this | circuit. |

PER CURIAM:

Thomas Lee Geddie seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2018) petition. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (2018). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Geddie that failure to file timely, specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned of the consequences of noncompliance. *Martin v. Duffy*, 858 F.3d 239, 245 (4th Cir. 2017); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 846-47 (4th Cir. 1985); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 154-55 (1985). Geddie has waived appellate review by failing to file objections to the magistrate judge's recommendation after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED