UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 20-6722	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
DOUGLAS WADE WILLIAMSO	N,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Dis J. Michelle Childs, District Judge.		
Submitted: March 31, 2021		Decided: April 15, 2021
Before MOTZ, KING, and THACK	KER, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Elizabeth Anne Franklin-Best, ELI Carolina, for Appellant.	IZABETH FRANKI	LIN-BEST, P.C., Columbia, South
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Douglas Wade Williamson seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by showing that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Williamson has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED