UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 20-7100	
DEMOUNT ALSTON,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
TRACY S. RAY, Warden,		
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
-		
Appeal from the United States D. Alexandria. Leonie M. Brinkema,		•
Submitted: October 28, 2022		Decided: November 17, 2022
Before RICHARDSON and QUAT Judge.	TLEBAUM, Circuit	Judges, and MOTZ, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Demount Alston, Appellant Pro Se		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Demount Alston seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

Limiting our review of the record to the issues raised in Alston's informal brief, we conclude that Alston has not made the requisite showing. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b); *see also Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we deny Alston's motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED