## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 20-7348	_
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
BENNY LYNN ISOM,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Greensboro. Thomas D. Schroeder 00242-TDS-1)		
Submitted: January 19, 2021		Decided: January 22, 2021
Before AGEE, WYNN, and DIAZ,	, Circuit Judges.	_
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	-
Benny Lynn Isom, Appellant Pro S	Se.	_
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Benny Lynn Isom seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion, which Isom titled as a motion for a certificate of appealability. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. See Buck v. Davis, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Isom has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**