

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 20-7380**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ANTAWIN BURGESS, a/k/a Hicky,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Florence.  
Terry L. Wooten, Senior District Judge. (4:07-cr-00791-TLW-1)

---

Submitted: February 18, 2021

Decided: March 25, 2021

---

Before MOTZ, KEENAN, and RUSHING, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Antawin Burgess, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Antawin Burgess appeals from the district court's orders denying relief on his motion for a sentence reduction pursuant to Section 404 of the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-391, § 404, 132 Stat. 5194, 5222, and his motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in declining to reduce Burgess' sentence. *See United States v. Jackson*, 952 F.3d 492, 497 (4th Cir. 2020) (reviewing decision on First Step Act motion for abuse of discretion). Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *United States v. Burgess*, No. 4:07-cr-00791-TLW-1 (D.S.C. June 24, 2020; Sept. 2, 2020). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*