

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 20-7504**

---

GREGORY HUDSON JONES,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

JEFFREY E. RICKMAN, Dentist at Alexander C.I., in his individual and official capacities; TIM F. TOWNSEND, Dentist at Mt. View C.I., in his individual and official capacities; DORA PLUMMER, Director of Health Services at D.P.S., in her individual and official capacities; BELINDA E. ABREU-PENA, Dentist at Alexander C.I., in her individual and official capacities; ERIC HOOKS, Secretary of the D.P.S., in his individual and official capacities; KENNETH LASSITER, Director of Adult Corrections Prisons Division, in his individual and official capacities; PAULA SMITH, Director of Health Care Services, in her individual and official capacities; JAMES CLARE, Dental Director, in his individual and official capacities; DONNA L. WOODRUFF, DDS, Assistant Dental Director, in her individual and official capacities,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

JAMES VAUGHN,

Defendant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Statesville. Martin K. Reidinger, Chief District Judge. (5:18-cv-00181-MR)

---

Submitted: March 18, 2021

Decided: March 22, 2021

---

Before WILKINSON and RICHARDSON, Circuit Judges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Gregory Hudson Jones, Appellant Pro Se. Kenneth L. Jones, CARRUTHERS & ROTH, PA, Greensboro, North Carolina; Stephen W. Coles, COLES LAW FIRM, Concord, North Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Gregory Hudson Jones appeals the district court's order granting Defendants summary judgment in Jones' 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Jones v. Rickman*, No. 5:18-cv-00181-MR (W.D.N.C. Sept. 22, 2020). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*