UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 20-7509	
KEVEN A. MORGAN,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,	,	
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. Richard E. Myers, II, Chie		
Submitted: February 25, 2022		Decided: April 20, 2022
Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and	RICHARDSON, Ci	rcuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi-	am opinion.	
Keven A. Morgan, Appellant Pro S General, NORTH CAROLINA DI for Appellee.		
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.		

PER CURIAM:

Keven A. Morgan seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. See Buck v. Davis, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Morgan has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny his motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED