UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 20-7626	·
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
KEITH LAMONT TUTT,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. James C. Dever III, Distr		
Submitted: March 18, 2021		Decided: March 23, 2021
Before WILKINSON and RICHA Judge.	RDSON, Circuit Ju	dges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curi-	am opinion.	
Keith Lamont Tutt, Appellant Pro	Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Keith Lamont Tutt seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion and denying reconsideration. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Tutt has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED