

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 20-7670

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MARCUS ROOSEVELT TAYLOR,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore.
Catherine C. Blake, Senior District Judge. (1:17-cr-00106-CCB-6)

Submitted: April 23, 2021

Decided: May 5, 2021

Before NIEMEYER, KEENAN, and RUSHING, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Marcus Roosevelt Taylor, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Marcus Roosevelt Taylor appeals the district court's orders denying his motion for compassionate release pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), as amended by the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-391, 132 Stat. 5194, and denying reconsideration. We review the district court's orders for abuse of discretion. *See United States v. Kibble*, 992 F.3d 326, 329 (4th Cir. 2021). "A district court abuses its discretion when it acts arbitrarily or irrationally, fails to consider judicially recognized factors constraining its exercise of discretion, relies on erroneous factual or legal premises, or commits an error of law." *United States v. Dillard*, 891 F.3d 151, 158 (4th Cir. 2018) (internal quotation marks omitted). Our review of the record shows that the district court properly considered the circumstances presented by the pandemic, Taylor's age and health conditions, and the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, before denying Taylor's motions. Therefore, we affirm the district court's orders. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED