

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 20-7787**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

VINCENT MARLOUS MANNING, a/k/a V-Tek,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Aiken.  
Margaret B. Seymour, Senior District Judge. (1:10-cr-00466-MBS-8)

---

Submitted: March 29, 2021

Decided: April 5, 2021

---

Before NIEMEYER, KEENAN, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Vincent Marlous Manning, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Vincent Marlous Manning appeals the district court's order denying his motion for compassionate release under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), as amended by the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-391, § 603(b)(1), 132 Stat. 5194, 5239. After reviewing the record, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Manning's motion. *See United States v. Chambliss*, 948 F.3d 691, 693 (5th Cir. 2020) (stating standard). Accordingly, we deny Manning's motion to appoint counsel and affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *United States v. Manning*, No. 1:10-cr-00466-MBS-8 (D.S.C. Oct. 7, 2020). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*