UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 20-7834			
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			
Plaintiff - Appellee,			
v.			
DEREK JOSEPH PARKER,			
Defendant - A	ppellant.		
Appeal from the United States Dist Charlotte. Robert J. Conrad, Jr., 00250-RJC)		7-cr-00253-RJC-D0	CK-1; 3:19-cv-
Submitted: October 19, 2021	_	Decided: Deci	ember 13, 2021
Before DIAZ and QUATTLEBA Judge.	UM, Circuit Judges	, and TRAXLER,	Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.		
Derek Joseph Parker, Appellant Pr	o Se.		

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Derek Joseph Parker seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion and denying his motion to reconsider. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Parker has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED