UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	No. 21-1677	
MICHAEL C. WORSHAM,		
Plaintiff - App	pellant,	
v.		
DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES, L	LC,	
Defendant - A	ppellee.	
Appeal from the United States District Justine A. Gallagher, District		•
Submitted: April 26, 2022		Decided: April 28, 2022
Before AGEE and THACKER, Cir	rcuit Judges, and FLO	OYD, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
Michael Craig Worsham, Appel HETHERINGTON LLP, Houston,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Michael C. Worsham appeals from the district court's order granting summary judgment in favor of Direct Energy Services, LLC ("Direct Energy"), in his action alleging violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, several Federal Communications Commission and Federal Trade Commission regulations, and the Maryland Telephone Consumer Protection Act, Md. Code Ann., Comm. Law §§ 14-3201 to 14-3202. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Worsham v. Direct Energy, Servs., LLC*, No. 1:20-cv-00193-SAG (D. Md. May 12, 2021). We deny Direct Energy's motion to strike Worsham's reply brief. We deny Worsham's motion for oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED