UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 21-1917	
DERRICK MICHAEL ALLEN, S	PR.,	
Plaintiff - Ap	pellant,	
v.		
DURHAM CO. DETENTION /Dental Dept.; MS. SLADE; MS.		ECT CARE SOLUTIONS,
Defendants -	Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States Dis Greensboro. Thomas D. Schroede		
Submitted: December 16, 2021		Decided: December 17, 2021
Before WYNN and RICHARDSO	N, Circuit Judges, and	d KEENAN, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Derrick Michael Allen, Sr., Appel	lant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ling precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Derrick Michael Allen, Sr., appeals the district court's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). The magistrate judge recommended dismissing the complaint and advised Allen that failure to file timely, specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned of the consequences of noncompliance. *Martin v. Duffy*, 858 F.3d 239, 245 (4th Cir. 2017); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 846-47 (4th Cir. 1985); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 154-55 (1985). Allen has waived appellate review by failing to file objections to the magistrate judge's recommendation after receiving proper notice.

Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED