## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 21-2156					
ILONKA AYLWARD,					
Plaintiff - Appella	nt,				
v.					
CITY OF CHARLOTTE; ARMS LETOURNEAU,	STRONG	GLEN,	P.C.;	JOSEPH	H.
Defendants - Appe	ellees,				
and					
CHARLOTTE MECKLENBURG STO Stormwater Services, a/k/a Charlotte/ Storm Water Services, a/k/a City of Ch	Mecklenbur	g Storm	Water, a		
Defendant.					
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Charlotte. Max O. Cogburn, Jr., District Judge. (3:21-cv-00232-MOC-DSC)					
Submitted: March 23, 2023			Dec	ided: April	13, 2023
Before WILKINSON, HARRIS, and Q	UATTLEB	AUM, Cir	cuit Jud	ges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam o	opinion.				

Ilonka Aylward, Appellant Pro Se. Thomas Edward Powers, III, Senior Assistant City Attorney, Clarence Edward Matherson, Jr., OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY, Charlotte, North Carolina; Sandra W. Mitterling, Amie Carol Sivon, RAGSDALE LIGGETT, PLLC, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Ilonka Aylward has filed an appeal from the district court's order denying her Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Prohibitive Preliminary Injunction. Appellees have filed a motion to dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction on the ground that they have finished the activities Aylward sought to enjoin and that the appeal is now moot. Aylward opposes the motion. Upon review of the materials relative to this appeal, we grant the Appellees' motion to dismiss and dismiss the appeal as moot. *See Di Biase v. SPX Corp.*, 872 F.3d 224, 231 (4th Cir. 2017). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**