

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 21-2168

FELICIA A. UNDERDUE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at
Charlotte. Robert J. Conrad, Jr., District Judge. (3:16-cv-00653-RJC)

Submitted: August 29, 2023

Decided: September 18, 2023

Before HARRIS, Circuit Judge, and MOTZ and KEENAN, Senior Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Felicia A. Underdue, Appellant Pro Se. Tory Ian Summey, Keith Michael Weddington,
PARKER, POE, ADAMS & BERNSTEIN, LLP, Charlotte, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Felicia A. Underdue appeals the district court's order granting summary judgment in favor of her former employer, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., on her second amended complaint alleging violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 to 12213. She also appeals the district court's order denying her motion for leave to amend in part. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's orders.* *Underdue v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, No. 3:16-cv-00653-RJC (W.D.N.C. Sept. 27, 2019 & Oct. 12, 2021). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* In her informal brief, Underdue purports to challenge all of the district court's orders. After reviewing her brief, we conclude that Underdue's complaints about the district court's handling of the discovery in her case are without merit. Moreover, to the extent that Underdue seeks to appeal the district court's order denying her motion for a preliminary injunction, she may not do so because we have already affirmed the district court's denial of that motion. *See Underdue v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 837 F. App'x 239 (4th Cir. 2021) (No. 20-1956).