## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 21-4563	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff – App	pellee,	
v.		
CLAUDIO ALVAREZ RODRIGU	JEZ,	
Defendant – A	Appellant.	
LEGAL SERVICE PROVIDERS DR. S. DEBORAH KANG; AS HUMAN RIGHTS FIRST; NOR ADVOCATES FOR BASIC LEGA LATINOJUSTICE PRLDEF; MASSACHUSETTS LAW REFOR LAW CENTER; IMMIGRATION LAW AND POLICY; AOKI CENTUDIES; SOUTHERN POVERT	IAN AMERICANS THWEST IMMIGR AL EQUALITY, INC LEGAL AID RM INSTITUTE; NA SCHOLARS; CEN	ADVANCING JUSTICE; ANT RIGHTS PROJECT; C.; JUSTICE STRATEGIES; JUSTICE CENTER; ATIONAL IMMIGRATION TER FOR IMMIGRATION CAL RACE AND NATION
Amici Supporting Ap	pellant.	
Appeal from the United States E Alexandria. Anthony John Trenga		<del>_</del>
Argued: September 22, 2023		Decided: April 4, 2024

Before DIAZ, Chief Judge, and AGEE and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

ARGUED: Patrick L. Bryant, OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER, Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellant. Joseph Attias, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellee. ON BRIEF: Geremy C. Kamens, Federal Public Defender, Cadence A. Mertz, Assistant Federal Public Defender, Alexandria, Virginia, Julie K. Linnen, Assistant Federal Public Defender, OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER, Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellant. Jessica D. Aber, United States Attorney, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellee. Khaled Alrabe, Ann Garcia, NATIONAL IMMIGRATION PROJECT OF THE LAWYERS GUILD, Washington, D.C.; Charles Roth, NATIONAL IMMIGRANT JUSTICE CENTER, Chicago, Illinois, for Amici Legal Service Providers and Immigrant Rights Organizations. Philip L. Torrey, Rachel Landry, Crimmigration Clinic, HARVARD LAW SCHOOL, Cambridge, Massachusetts, for Amicus Dr. S. Michele Akemi McKenzie, MCKENZIE SCOTT PC, San Diego, California, for Amici Asian Americans Advancing Justice, Human Rights, First, and Northwest Immigrant Rights Project. Lourdes Rosado, President and General Counsel, Andrew Case, LATINOJUSTICE PRLDEF, New York, New York; Max S. Wolson, Washington, D.C., Nicholas David Espiritu, NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER, Los Angeles, California, for Amici Advocates for Basic Legal Equality, Justice Strategies, Latino Justice PRLDEF, Legal Aid Justice Center, Massachusetts Law Reform Institute, and National Immigration Law Center. Amanda Valerio, Washington, D.C., Alexia D. Korberg, Melina Meneguin Layerenza, Patrick McCusker, PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP, New York, New York, for Amici Immigration Scholars. Yaman Salahi, EDELSON PC, San Francisco, California, for Amici The Center for Immigration Law and Policy, The Aoki Center for Critical Race and Nation Studies, The Southern Poverty Law Center, and Professor Eric Fish.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Claudio Alvarez Rodriguez was convicted of illegally reentering the United States after a previous removal in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. He moved to dismiss his indictment, arguing that § 1326 is unconstitutional because it was enacted with a racially discriminatory purpose. According to Rodriguez, a predecessor illegal-reentry statute from 1929 was based on racial animus, and that same animus carried over to § 1326, enacted almost 25 years later as part of the Immigration and Nationality Act. The district court denied Rodriguez's motion to dismiss, finding that § 1326 is "not unconstitutional based on an impermissible motive in its . . . origins or its provenance." J.A. 1134.

In *United States v. Sanchez-Garcia*, No. 22-4072 (4th Cir. Apr. 4, 2024), we sustained the constitutionality of § 1326 against the same argument raised by Rodriguez. Consistent with our holding in *Sanchez-Garcia*, we affirm the judgment of the district court.

**AFFIRMED**