UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		•	
_	No. 21-6196		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,		
Plaintiff - App	pellee,		
v.			
MICHAEL GREG PERRY,			
Defendant - A	ppellant.		
Appeal from the United States Dist Greensboro. Catherine C. Eagles, l CCE-JEP)			
Submitted: April 27, 2021		Decided:	May 3, 2021
Before KEENAN, WYNN, and FL	OYD, Circuit Judge	s. -	
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.		
Michael Greg Perry, Appellant Pro	Se.		
Unnublished aninions are not hind	ing precedent in this	circuit	

PER CURIAM:

Michael Greg Perry seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Perry's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Perry has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED