UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 21-6312	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
v.		
MARCUS ANTONIO MCNEILL,		
Defendant - A _J	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Distraction Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, Distraction -		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Submitted: July 25, 2023		Decided: August 9, 2023
Before DIAZ, Chief Judge, and GR	EGORY and WYN	N, Circuit Judges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiar	n opinion.	
Marcus Antonio McNeill, Appellar Attorney, Kristine L. Fritz, Assist Assistant United States Attorney, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appell	ant United States A	Attorney, Jennifer P. May-Parker,

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Marcus Antonio McNeill appeals the district court's order denying his motion for a sentence reduction under § 404(b) of the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-391, 132 Stat. 5194 ("First Step Act"). We have reviewed the record and conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion, *see United States v. Reed*, 58 F.4th 816, 819 (4th Cir. 2023) (stating standard of review), by declining to sua sponte consider the changes the First Step Act wrought on 21 U.S.C. § 841(b), *see United States v. Troy*, 64 F.4th 177, 184 (4th Cir. 2023) (providing standard for consideration of First Step Act motions); *Reed*, 58 F.4th at 822 (recognizing that "a district court is not required to modify a sentence for any reason" (cleaned up)). Accordingly, we deny McNeill's motion for appointment of counsel and affirm the district court's order. *United States v. McNeill*, No. 5:08-cr-00188-FL-1 (E.D.N.C. Dec. 21, 2021). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED