## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		i
_	No. 21-6379	
MELVIN G. SIMMS,		
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
v.		
DONALD AMES, Superintendent,		
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Dist Wheeling. John Preston Bailey, Di		
Submitted: June 30, 2022		Decided: July 19, 2022
Before NIEMEYER and RICHAF Judge.	RDSON, Circuit Jud	lges, and FLOYD, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Melvin G. Simms, Appellant Pro S GENERAL OF WEST VIRGINIA	•	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Melvin G. Simms seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Simms has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED