

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 21-6639

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

KEONTE K. YORKSHIRE, a/k/a Tae,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, District Judge. (2:18-cr-00177-RAJ-LRL-9)

Submitted: October 19, 2021

Decided: October 22, 2021

Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, AGEE, Circuit Judge, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Keonte K. Yorkshire, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Keonte K. Yorkshire appeals the district court's orders denying his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i) motion for compassionate release, denying counsel's supplemental motion for compassionate release, and denying Yorkshire's pro se motion for reconsideration. After reviewing the record, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying the motions. *See United States v. Kibble*, 992 F.3d 326, 329 (4th Cir. 2021) (stating standard of review for order denying compassionate release); *see also United States v. High*, 997 F.3d 181, 189 (4th Cir. 2021) (affirming district court order denying compassionate release where “[t]he court’s rationale . . . was both rational and legitimate under [18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)]” and “the court sufficiently explained its denial to allow for meaningful appellate review” (internal quotation marks omitted)). We therefore affirm the district court's orders. *United States v. Yorkshire*, No. 2:18-cr-00177-RAJ-LRL-9 (E.D. Va. Feb. 2, 2021; Mar. 25, 2021). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED