UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 21-6666	
RICHARD LEO WILLIAMS,		
Petitioner - A	ppellant,	
v.		
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGIN	NIA,	
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States I Alexandria. Claude M. Hilton, Ser		•
Submitted: October 28, 2022		Decided: December 14, 2022
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, Judge.	RUSHING, Circuit J	udge, and FLOYD, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Richard Leo Williams, Appellant I	Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Richard Leo Williams seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Williams has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny the motion for appointment of counsel and an evidentiary hearing, deny a certificate of appealability, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED