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## PER CURIAM:

Delaqui O'Jmar Carter appeals the district court's order denying his motion for compassionate release under 18 U.S.C. $\S 3582(\mathrm{c})(1)(\mathrm{A})(\mathrm{i})$, as amended by the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-391, § 603(b)(1), 132 Stat. 5194, 5239. After reviewing the record, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Carter's motion for compassionate release. See United States v. Kibble, 992 F.3d 326, 329 (4th Cir.) (stating standard of review), cert. denied, 142 S. Ct. 383 (2021); see also United States v. High, 997 F.3d 181, 189 (4th Cir. 2021) (affirming district court order denying compassionate release where " $[\mathrm{t}]$ he court's rationale . . . was both rational and legitimate under [18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)]" and "the court sufficiently explained its denial to allow for meaningful appellate review" (internal quotation marks omitted)). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. United States v. Carter, No. 3:18-cr-00677-MGL-5 (D.S.C. Apr. 20, 2021). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

