UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 21-6707	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	••	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
CHRISTOPHER JERMAINE TAY	YLOR, a/k/a Phoenix,	a/k/a C-Murda,
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
	No. 21-7538	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
CHRISTOPHER JERMAINE TAY	YLOR, a/k/a Phoenix, a	a/k/a C-Murda,
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeals from the United States Di at Huntington. Robert C. Chamber		_
Submitted: November 28, 2022		Decided: December 12, 2022

Before AGEE and QUATTLEBAUM, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circui Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.
Christopher Jermaine Taylor, Appellant Pro Se.
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Christopher Jermaine Taylor appeals the district court's orders denying his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A) motions for compassionate release, motions for reconsideration, and motions for an evidentiary hearing. We have reviewed the record and find no abuse of discretion in the district court's denial of compassionate release and reconsideration based on the court's conclusion that Taylor failed to demonstrate extraordinary and compelling reasons warranting his release. See United States v. Kibble, 992 F.3d 326, 329 (4th Cir.) (stating standard of review), cert. denied, 142 S. Ct. 383 (2021). Nor do we find any abuse of discretion in the district court's denial of Taylor's motions for an evidentiary hearing. See Richardson v. Kornegay, 3 F.4th 687, 695 (4th Cir. 2021) (stating standard of review for denial of evidentiary hearing in federal habeas corpus proceeding). Accordingly, we affirm. United States v. Taylor, No. 3:15-cr-00009-1 (S.D.W. Va. Apr. 21, 2021, Aug. 2, 2021, Aug. 30, 2021, Sept. 16, 2021 & Oct. 15, 2021). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED