## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 21-6762
SHAHID L.A. MAJID, a/k/a Arthur R. Moseley,
Plaintiff - Appellant,
$\mathbf{v}.$
DR. CASSANDRA MEANS, Regional Dir.; SHERISSE D. BIRCH, CCC; DHO FRANCINE BACKMAN; WARDEN RANDALL WILLIAMS; ASSOC. WARDEN MARTELL; SCDC; IGB SHERMAN ANDERSON,
Defendants - Appellees,
and
IGB JOHN DOE,
Defendant.
Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Rock Hill. Mary G. Lewis, District Judge. (0:19-cv-01937-MGL)
Submitted: November 23, 2021 Decided: November 30, 2021
Before NIEMEYER, FLOYD, and RUSHING, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Shahid L.A. Majid, Appellant Pro Se. Elloree Ann Ganes, HOOD LAW FIRM, Charleston, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Shahid L.A. Majid, a South Carolina prisoner, seeks to appeal the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation and granting Defendants summary judgment in Majid's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action, as well as denying his request for injunctive relief. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

In civil cases, parties have 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court entered the underlying judgment on March 25, 2021. Shahid's proof of service reflects that Shahid filed the notice of appeal on April 27, 2021—the day he tendered it to prison officials for mailing to the court. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(c)(1); *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266, 276 (1988). Thus, Shahid filed the notice of appeal the day after the 30-day appeal period expired. Because Shahid failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we dismiss the appeal.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED