## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 21-6892	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
V.		
ANTHONY ANDREWS, a/k/a WI	heat,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Wilmington. James C. Dever III, I		
Submitted: November 18, 2021		Decided: November 22, 2021
Before MOTZ, THACKER, and H	ARRIS, Circuit Judg	es.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
Anthony Andrews, Appellant Pro S May-Parker, Assistant United Sta ATTORNEY, Raleigh, North Caro	tes Attorneys, OFF	n, Lucy Partain Brown, Jennifer P. ICE OF THE UNITED STATES
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Anthony Andrews appeals the district court's order denying his second motion for compassionate release pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), as amended by the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-391, 132 Stat. 5194. We review the district court's order for abuse of discretion. See United States v. Kibble, 992 F.3d 326, 329 (4th Cir. 2021), cert. denied, No. 21-5624, 2021 WL 4733616 (U.S. Oct. 12, 2021). "A district court abuses its discretion when it acts arbitrarily or irrationally, fails to consider judicially recognized factors constraining its exercise of discretion, relies on erroneous factual or legal premises, or commits an error of law." *United States v. Dillard*, 891 F.3d 151, 158 (4th Cir. 2018) (internal quotation marks omitted). We conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in concluding that Andrews' glaucoma did not alter its previous conclusion that the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors did not warrant release. Therefore, we affirm the district court's order. We deny the motion to consolidate. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**