UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 21-6951	
DEMETRIUS J. WADE,	
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,
V.	
HAROLD CLARKE, Director for I	Department of Corrections,
Respondent - A	Appellee.
-	
	istrict Court for the Western District of Virginia, at istrict Judge. (7:19-cv-00763-EKD-JCH)
Submitted: November 22, 2021	Decided: January 20, 2022
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, Judge.	WYNN, Circuit Judge, and FLOYD, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.
Demetrius J. Wade, Appellant Pro	Se.
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Demetrius J. Wade seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Wade has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Wade's motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED