## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| •  |                       |                                |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
|  | No. 21-7020           |                                |
| ABDU-SALIM GOULD,  |                       |                                |
| Petitioner - Ap  | ppellant,             |                                |
| v.   |                       |                                |
| TODD E. ISHEE,   |                       |                                |
| Respondent -   | Appellee.             |                                |
|  |                       |                                |
| Appeal from the United States Dist<br>Raleigh. James C. Dever III, Distr |                       |                                |
| Submitted: December 21, 2021   |                       | Decided: December 27, 2021     |
| Before KING and QUATTLEBA Judge.   | UM, Circuit Judges    | s, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit |
| Dismissed by unpublished per curi  | am opinion.           |                                |
| Abdu-Salim Gould, Appellant Pro  | Se.                   |                                |
| Unpublished opinions are not bind  | ing precedent in this | circuit.                       |

## PER CURIAM:

Abdu-Salim Gould seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Gould has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Gould's motions for a certificate of appealability and for leave to file an amicus curiae brief, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED