## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 21-7194	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
V.		
GREGORY CRUM,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Charleston. John T. Copenhaver, 00817)		
Submitted: November 23, 2021		Decided: November 30, 2021
Before NIEMEYER, FLOYD, and	RUSHING, Circuit	Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Gregory Crum, Appellant Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Gregory Crum seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Crum's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Crum has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED