UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 21-7255	
TAYBRONNE A. WHITE,		
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
v.		
WARDEN KISER,		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States D Roanoke. James P. Jones, Senior I		•
Submitted: April 18, 2022		Decided: May 13, 2022
Before KING and THACKER, Cir	cuit Judges, and TRA	AXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Taybronne A. White, Appellant I ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIR		· ·
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Taybronne A. White seeks to appeal the district court's final order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that White has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED