UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 21-7499	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
V.		
ADAM GUNN, II,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Martinsburg. Gina M. Groh, Dis 00099-GMG)		
Submitted: July 26, 2022		Decided: July 28, 2022
Before MOTZ, KING, and AGEE,	Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Adam Gunn, II, Appellant Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Adam Gunn, II, seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting in part the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Gunn's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. See Buck v. Davis, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Gunn has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED