## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| •   | '                    |                            |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|
|   | No. 21-7597          |                            |
| DAVID RODRIGUEZ,  |                      |                            |
| Petitioner - Ap   | opellant,            |                            |
| v.  |                      |                            |
| STEVIE KNIGHT, Warden,  |                      |                            |
| Respondent  | Appellee,            |                            |
| and   |                      |                            |
| MELISSA FORSYTH, Camp Adm   | ninistrator,         |                            |
| Respondent.   |                      |                            |
| Appeal from the United States Distr<br>Henry M. Herlong, Jr., Senior Dist |                      |                            |
| Submitted: April 26, 2022   |                      | Decided: April 29, 2022    |
| Before AGEE and THACKER, Cir  | cuit Judges, and FLC | OYD, Senior Circuit Judge. |
| Affirmed by unpublished per curia   | m opinion.           |                            |
| David Rodriguez, Appellant Pro S<br>Attorney, OFFICE OF THE UNIT          |                      |                            |
| •   |                      |                            |

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Federal prisoner David Rodriguez appeals the district court's order accepting the magistrate judge's recommendation to grant Respondent summary judgment and dismiss Rodriguez's 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition. Review of the record confirms the district court's holding that Rodriguez failed to exhaust his administrative remedies before filing his § 2241 petition. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's dismissal order. *Rodriguez v. Knight*, No. 9:21-cv-00305-HMH (D.S.C. Sept. 28, 2021). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**