UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-1068	
MARIE FALTAS, M.D., M.P.H., o	on behalf of herself and classes she represents,
Plaintiff - Appe	ellant,
V.	
LARRY MCCANTS, in his official	capacity,
Defendant - Ap	opellee.
_	
* *	District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Senior District Judge. (3:92-cv-02786-JFA)
Submitted: November 21, 2022	Decided: December 8, 2022
Before NIEMEYER, RUSHING, an	nd HEYTENS, Circuit Judges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curian	n opinion.
Marie Therese Assa'ad-Faltas, App	ellant Pro Se.
Unpublished opinions are not binding	ng precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Marie Therese Assa'ad-Faltas appeals the district court's text order denying her renewed Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. *See Assa'ad-Faltas v. McCants*, No. 3:92-cv-02786-JFA (D.S.C. Jan. 5, 2022); *see also Dowell v. State Farm Fire & Cas. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 993 F.2d 46, 48 (4th Cir. 1993) (recognizing that a Rule 60(b) movant must make a threshold showing of "timeliness, a meritorious defense, a lack of unfair prejudice to the opposing party, and exceptional circumstances" (internal quotation marks omitted)); *McLawhorn v. John W. Daniel & Co.*, 924 F.2d 535, 538 (4th Cir. 1991) ("[A] Rule 60(b) motion is not timely brought when it is made three to four months after the original judgment and no valid reason is given for the delay."). We deny all pending motions. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED