

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-1155

In re: YOSEF AMIEL HANDY,

Petitioner.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus. (1:18-cv-00878-TDS-JEP)

Submitted: May 24, 2022

Decided: May 27, 2022

Before NIEMEYER, KING, and RICHARDSON, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Yosef Amiel Handy, Petitioner Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Yosef Amiel Handy petitions for a writ of mandamus seeking an order requiring the district court to resentence him. We conclude that Handy is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. *Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Ct.*, 542 U.S. 367, 380 (2004); *In re Murphy-Brown, LLC*, 907 F.3d 788, 795 (4th Cir. 2018). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought and “has no other adequate means to attain the relief [he] desires.” *Murphy-Brown*, 907 F.3d at 795 (alteration and internal quotation marks omitted). The relief sought by Handy is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED